

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/510,893	02/23/2000	Markku Verkama	796.330USW1	796.330USW1 3980	
32294 7	590 06/20/2003				
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR		JUNG, MIN			
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182					
1 130N3 CORNER, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663	~	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	X	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/510,893		VERKAMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Min Jung		2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ly within the statutor will apply and will e e, cause the applica	however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)[] A	A) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.</u>	5)	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Specification lacks teaching on the specifics regarding the release and reconnect of the logical connection. In normal situation, once a connection is released, the reconnect procedure would include many steps that need to be performed between two entities. In the present specification, it is made overly simple by suggesting a "reconnect" when the relay of user data resumes. How is the reconnection performed? It is not clear if some kind of basic connection is maintained during the released period. In other words, it is not clear how the connection is resumed in such a simple manner after a release has been made.

Further, logical connection inherently refers to an end-to-end connection.

However, in the present specification, it is taught that a logical connection between the serving node and the RNS is release while maintaining the logical connection between

Application/Control Number: 09/510,893

Art Unit: 2663

the serving node and the mobile station. Is it implying that there are two logical

connections for two different segments in the communication route? It seems that usage

Page 3

of the terms and unconventional steps need to be clarified for better understanding of

the specification.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The Lee et al. patent, 6,456,604, the Miyata patent, 6,393,001,

and the Hata et al. patent, 5,479,402, are cited for further references.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 703-305-4363.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314

for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

4750.

MJ

June 17, 2003

Min Jung

Primary Examiner